

## **Frozen In Time – Book Review Chapter 4 – A Mammoth Number of Mammoth Hypotheses**



**By Greg Neyman**

**© Answers In Creation**

First Published 7 September 2005

Answers In Creation Website

[www.answersincreation.org/frozen\\_in\\_time\\_4.htm](http://www.answersincreation.org/frozen_in_time_4.htm)

Oard will now proceed to show how various hypothesis have been proposed over the years to answer the problems that he presented in the previous chapters...which I have already answered. It doesn't matter that somebody 50 years ago proposed this or that...what matters is the current answer given by scientists. By showing that over the years there have been many varying descriptions, he is setting up scientists as bumbling idiots, unable to offer a decent theory about the various mammoth problems. This straw man argument will later be fulfilled when Oard himself presents an answer to all these questions!

### **Ancient and Native Beliefs (Page 47)**

This has no bearing upon what scientists actually believe today! It only adds to his strawman argument.

### **Thoughts From Early Geologists (Page 48)**

The field of geology has come a long way since it started a little over two centuries ago. Our data is much better now.

### **Astral Catastrophes and Catastrophic Crustal Shifts (Page 49)**

Oard gives a brief summary of these flawed theories. No problems here for old earth belief.

### **The Quick Freeze (Page 51)**

Nothing of interest, at this time, for this hypothesis. Oard will revisit this later as part of his model.

### **Mainstream Scientific Hypotheses (Page 51)**

Interestingly, he goes back to 1962 to give an example of the mainstream scientific hypotheses. In fact, he picks someone out of the literature whose beliefs are contrary to some of the evidence Oard has already presented, claiming that there are not millions of mammoths! (When he builds a strawman, it must be a thousand feet tall!). There are no problems with the rest...however, he could have chosen another researcher. But then again, Oard must show something about mainstream science that the reader will pick up on as contrary to the evidence that Oard has already presented. No need to delve into the mainstream science now, as we will see it later.